

LINTED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,582	2	12/17/2001	Katrien Maria Jozefa Van Laere	BO 44718	5887
466	7590	10/07/2003		EXAMINER	
	G & THO		MELLER, MICHAEL V		
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1654	
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/015,582	VAN LAERE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michael V. Meller	1654						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh et with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thir rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 A</u>	<u>ugust 2003</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14,16,17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14, 16, 17, 19</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14, 16, 17, 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •							
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-14, 16, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims are not enabled for "preventing" the claimed conditions. Applicant does have support for "treating" but not "preventing". The specification does not show that the obesity is totally eliminated and will not occur again.

Claims 10-14, 16, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating overweight or obesity, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and all conditions. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,582 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant has shown that the composition can be used to treat obesity and overweightness. Applicant has not shown that such compositions can be used to treat any and all conditions. In an art as unpredictable as biotechnology, it is imperative that the discloure show that the composition can treat more than just obesity and overweightness. In other words the method reads on treating someone with AIDS and there is nothing in the specification to support such a claim.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for Gymnema, glucomannan and locust bean gum, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and all carbohydrate absorption inhibitors, carbohydrase inhibitors, etc. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification only discloses what is above. It does not show that any and all of the claimed components will work in the claimed process. Since biotechnology is such an unpredictable art as is treating obesity and overweightness then it is highly unlikely that any and all components as claimed in claim 14 will indeed treat obesity or overweightness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/015,582

Art Unit: 1654

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10, 12, 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ying or Shimizu et al.

The references each teach that glucose isomerase can be administered orally to a patient.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14, 16, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. taken with Tsujino, and Carey et al. '358 and Carey et al. '508.

Shimizu teaches that glucose isomerase can be administered orally to a patient suffering from diabetes.

Since many people who suffer from diabetes are also over weight, then when one administers the composition to a group of patients, it is inevitable that one will be

Art Unit: 1654

overweight. Further, Tsujino and the Carey references show that it is well known administer such formulations enterally.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM